



# Identification and Characterization of Nep1-Like Proteins From the Grapevine Downy Mildew Pathogen *Plasmopara viticola*

### OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Ivan Baccelli, Istituto per la Protezione Sostenibile delle Piante, Sede Secondaria Firenze, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Elodie Vandelle, University of Verona, Italy Pere Mestre, Santé de la Vigne et Qualité du Vin, France

### \*Correspondence:

René Fuchs rene.fuchs@wbi.bwl.de

### <sup>†</sup>Present address:

Katrin Grosser Section of Phytopathology and Diagnosis, Department of Biology, State Institute for Viticulture and Enology, Freiburg, Germany

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Microbe Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 13 September 2019 Accepted: 16 January 2020 Published: 13 February 2020

#### Citation:

Schumacher S, Grosser K, Voegele RT, Kassemeyer H-H and Fuchs R (2020) Identification and Characterization of Nep1-Like Proteins From the Grapevine Downy Mildew Pathogen Plasmopara viticola. Front. Plant Sci. 11:65. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00065 Stefan Schumacher<sup>1</sup>, Katrin Grosser<sup>1†</sup>, Ralf Thomas Voegele<sup>2</sup>, Hanns-Heinz Kassemeyer<sup>1</sup> and René Fuchs<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Section of Phytopathology and Diagnosis, Department of Biology, State Institute for Viticulture and Enology, Freiburg, Germany, <sup>2</sup> Department of Phytopathology, Institute of Phytomedicine (360), Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany

The obligate biotrophic oomycete Plasmopara viticola causes tremendous problems in viticulture by evoking grapevine downy mildew. P. viticola, like other plant pathogens, achieves infection by suppression of plant innate immunity by secretion of effector molecules into its host plant. An ever-expanding family of proteins with effector-like characteristics is formed by the "Necrosis and Ethylene inducing peptide 1 (Nep1)-like proteins" (NLPs). NLPs can be divided into two groups by their ability to induce necrosis. While cytotoxic NLPs may act as virulence factors for a necrotrophic or hemibiotrophic plant pathogen, the role of non-cytotoxic NLPs is so far unknown. In this study, we identified eight independent NLPs in *P. viticola* and selected three for functional analysis. While one was identified as a putative pseudo gene, two contain all so far described critical key elements for necrosis formation except for an N-terminal signal peptide. Further characterization revealed that none of the putative necrosis elicitors was able to actually induce necrosis, neither in several susceptible or resistant Vitis species nor in the dicot model plant Nicotiana benthamiana. This inability exists independently of the presence or absence of a signal peptide. However, any possible mechanism for the suppression of the ability to induce necrosis in planta was not detected. Interestingly, expression analysis of the presumed pseudo gene revealed remarkable differences between pure sporangia solution and sporangia in the presence of leaf material. To our knowledge, this is the first report of this kind of regulation that suggests an important function of so far nonfunctional "pseudo" NLP genes during the first hours of infection.

Keywords: *Plasmopara viticola*, grapevine, Nep1, Necrosis and Ethylene inducing peptide 1 (Nep1)-like proteins, necrosis, ethylene, *Vitis*, innate immunity

1

# INTRODUCTION

Grapevine downy mildew belongs to the most challenging problems in viticulture (Vitis spp.) and demands expensive and laborious efforts from winegrowers all over the globe (Gessler, 2011). The causal agent of this disease is the obligate biotrophic oomycete Plasmopara viticola (Berk. & Curt. ex. de Bary), which was accidentally introduced into Europe from North America in the late 19th century. Indigenous American grapevine species representing the natural host plant of this pathogen were under continuous infection pressure which led to a highly effective form of plant innate immunity (Boso and Kassemeyer, 2008). The prevalent common grape vine (Vitis vinifera) in Europe was never challenged to develop such a system for its protection. Today P. viticola is controlled by frequent fungicide application over the whole vegetation period. Increasing fungicide resistance as well as growing concerns about the environmental impact resulting in governmental regulations calls for the development of alternative and sustainable strategies for P. viticola control (Corio-Costet, 2012).

Over the course of evolution, plants have developed a complex system of defenses which enables them to withstand the majority of potentially dangerous microorganisms in their environment. Besides some rather unspecific mechanical and chemical preformed barriers they benefit from a very specific system, the plant innate immunity (Jones and Dangl, 2006). The first reactions in this immunity happen during the so called PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI). During PTI a broad range of defense responses is induced after recognition of pathogenassociated molecular patterns (PAMPs) throughout pattern recognition receptors (PRR) on the cell surface (Jones and Dangl, 2006). Defense reactions include for example the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), closing of the stomata, callose deposition in the cell wall or the production of several phytoalexins or pathogenesis related (PR) proteins (Meng and Zhang, 2013). Adapted pathogens have developed several ways to circumvent PTI by using specialized proteins which are usually referred to as effectors (Jones and Dangl, 2006). During the so called effector triggered susceptibility (ETS), these pathogen secreted effectors contribute to the pathogens' virulence which is why they are also called virulence factors (Jones and Dangl, 2006). On the other hand plants themselves have developed ways to recognize effectors or the targets influenced by these effectors. This consequently leads to effector triggered immunity (ETI), which includes similar defense reactions like PTI, but often resulting in a rapid cell death at the infection site, referred to as hypersensitive response (HR) (Coll et al., 2011). Former virulence factors of the pathogen are now unfavorable for the pathogen and are therefore called avirulence factors (Jones and Dangl, 2006). During their coevolution plants and pathogens have constantly developed new forms of ETS and ETI which is why this is often referred to as an evolutionary arms race (Boller and He, 2009).

An ever-expanding group of pathogen-derived proteins with effector like characteristics are the "necrosis- and ethyleneinducing peptide 1 (NEP1)-like proteins" (NLPs) (Pemberton and Salmond, 2004). Proteins of this family are highly conserved over all kingdoms of microorganisms and share highest similarities to cytotoxic actinoporins as well as to fungal lectins (Ottmann et al., 2009). The majority of these proteins share structural characteristics which are directly linked to their necrosis-inducing ability. One such characteristic structural element are conserved cysteine residues. Cysteine residues are responsible for disulfide bond formation which has a critical impact on the tertiary structure and therefore the function of a protein (Betz, 1993). After the substitution of one cysteine residue necrosis-inducing *Phytophthora* protein 1 (NPP1) purified from Phytophthora parasitica was no longer able to induce necrosis when applied directly onto parsley (Petroselinum crispum) leaves (Fellbrich et al., 2002). Another key element for cytotoxicity is the hepta peptide motif GHRHDWE. This motif is essentially involved in shaping a cavity on the protein surface which was shown to be critical for the ability to induce necrosis in several studies (Ottmann et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2018). Since it was shown that membrane disruption induced by NLPs takes place from the apoplastic side, it is assumed that at least necrosis formation mediated by these proteins requires the presence of a signal peptide (Qutob et al., 2006). This necessity was clearly demonstrated with two NLPs from Phytophthora sojae (NLP<sub>Ps</sub>) and *P. parasitica* (NLP<sub>Pb</sub>) (Qutob et al., 2006). Both showed no cytotoxic activity when transiently expressed without the signal peptide (SP<sup>-</sup>) in sugar beet (Beta vulgaris). However, transient expression of type 1 NLP<sub>Ps</sub> (SP<sup>-</sup>) in N. benthamiana led to, although delayed compared with the wild-type protein, clear necrosis formation, even when produced inside the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Oome and Van den Ackerveken, 2014). Interestingly, transient expression of the type 2  $NLP_{Pcc}$  from Pectobacterium carotovora did not induce any necrosis when targeted to the ER or expressed without signal peptide, suggesting different modes of action for these two NLPs and type 1 and type 2 NLPs in general (Oome and Van den Ackerveken, 2014). Besides these cytotoxic elements, NLPs also carry a 24 amino acid peptide (nlp24) which is recognized as a PAMP in several plants (Böhm et al., 2014; Oome et al., 2014). nlp24 is able to induce several reactions of PTI and is recognized by the receptor complex RLP23-SOBIR1-BAK1 in several species within the Brassicaceae and Asteraceae (Albert et al., 2015).

The first NLP (NEP1) was discovered in the causal agent of the vascular wilt, Fusarium oxysporum (Bailey, 1995). Since then, NLPs were found in all kinds of prokaryotic and eukaryotic microorganisms; however, the majority is known from plant pathogenic oomycetes and fungi (Gijzen and Nürnberger, 2006; Oome and Van den Ackerveken, 2014). The highest copy numbers were so far identified in the genus Phytophthora with for example 33 known NLPs and 37 pseudogenes in P. sojae (Dong et al., 2012). Necrotrophic pathogens, like Pythium ultimum (six NLPs) show considerably fewer copy numbers (Lévesque et al., 2010). For biotrophic plant pathogens NLPs were reported for example from Plasmopara halstedii (19 NLPs, 1 pseudogene) and Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis (14 NLPs and 15 pseudogenes) (Cabral et al., 2012; Sharma et al., 2015). However, functional analysis of NLPs from an obligate biotroph was performed only for several NLPs from H. arabidopsidis (Cabral et al., 2012).

NLPs can be divided into two general groups. Group one consists of proteins with a strong ability to induce necrosis. Hemibiotrophic pathogens express these proteins during the switch from biotrophic to necrotrophic lifestyle (Alkan et al., 2015). Several studies showed that these NLPs contribute strongly to the virulence of their pathogens (Amsellem et al., 2002; Santhanam et al., 2013). Group two, on the other hand, consists of non-cytotoxic NLPs. Most of these proteins are expressed during the earliest infection stages (Cabral et al., 2012; Dong et al., 2012). These NLPs are reported from several hemibiotrophic and biotrophic plant pathogens (Cabral et al., 2012; Dong et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2012). The function of these NLPs is so far unknown. It can be assumed that obligate biotrophic pathogens lost or modified their cytotoxic NLPs during evolution, however, the high number of remaining NLPs without the ability to induce necrosis indicates an important additional role, especially during early infection stages. The objective of this work was to identify and characterize NLPs from P. viticola. Specifically, their ability to induce necrosis was analyzed, and their function in the pathogen-plant interaction was elucidated.

Identification of NLP coding genes was done by analysis of the three published sequenced genomes of *P. viticola*. For in depth molecular and biochemical characterization, we selected three NLPs of *P. viticola*. The corresponding genes were sequenced in isolates collected from middle Europe and Israel to analyze their conservation. Gene expression studies were carried out under various experimental setups to reflect the whole course of infection. NLPs were expressed transiently in *N. benthamiana* to study necrosis-inducing ability, subcellular localization as well as potential homo- or heterodimerization. Furthermore we analyzed the ability to induce necrosis in several *Vitis* spp. by vacuum infiltration of recombinant proteins.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Identification and *In Silico* Analysis of *P. viticola NLPs* (*PvNLPs*)

### Gene Identification and Analysis

NLP genes of P. viticola (PvNLPs) were identified by mega blast queries (National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), Bethesda MD, USA) against the three known genome assemblies of the isolates INRA-PV221 (INRA, France), JL-7-2 (China Agriculture University, China), and PvitFEM01 (Fondazione Edmund Mach, Italy) using the peptide "AIMYSWYFPKDMPSTDFGHRHDWE" (Supplemental Table 1). DNA- and protein assemblies were performed with Clustal Omega (Sievers et al., 2011). Signal peptide prediction was performed with SignalP 5 (Armenteros et al., 2019). Non-classical secretion was predicted with SecretomeP 2.0a (Bendtsen et al., 2005). LOGOs were created with Weblogo after manual removal of signal peptides from the proteins. Colors were set at KRH: green, DE: blue, ST: red, VLAGI: orange, NQ: purple and C: RGB 8C8C8C. Phylogenetic analysis was performed with the sequences of the eight PvNLPs and the 230 oomycete NLPs used by Oome and Van den Ackerveken (2014) according to their protocol. Alignments for maximum likelihood trees were created using mafft (LINSi) after manual removal of the signal peptide (Katoh et al., 2019). Positions present in less than 75% of the sequences were removed manually from the alignment. Phylogenetic analysis was performed with PhyML (v3.0, WAG model, four substitution rate categories, estimated proportion of invariable sites, estimated gamma distribution parameters, and a robustness assessment of 100 bootstraps) using Phylogeny.fr (Dereeper et al., 2008). The phylogenetic tree was designed with FigTree v1.4.4 (Rambaut, 2018). Disulfide bond prediction was performed with Disulfind (Ceroni et al., 2006).

#### Sequencing of P. viticola Isolates

Genomic DNA from 36 field isolates and seven single sporangia lines obtained in equal proportions from susceptible and tolerant grape varieties from vine vards in Europe (Germany, France) and the Middle East (Israel) have been analyzed. The precise list of the analyzed cultivars as well as their year and location of sampling is given in Supplemental Table 2. Genomic DNA was provided from collaborators or isolated from infected leaves with the Plant DNA Kit (Analytik Jena AG, Jena, Germany). Genomic DNA from sporangia or mycelium of P. viticola and Phytophthora infestans was isolated with the Bacteria & Fungi DNA Kit (Analytik Jena AG) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Amplification was carried out with the PCRBIO HiFi Polymerase (PCR Biosystems Ltd, London, UK) with the corresponding primers (Supplemental Table 3). PCR products were cleaned up from agarose gels with the NucleoSpin® Gel and PCR Clean-up Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) and cloned "blunt end" into the plasmid pUC19 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad CA, USA). Plasmids from three positive colonies were isolated by alkaline lysis as published before (Sambrook et al., 1989) and sequenced with the primers pUC-F and pUC-R. Sequence analysis was performed with Vector NTI Advance 11.5.3 (Life Technologies).

### Gene Expression Analysis

#### Plant Inoculation and Pathogen Propagation

All inoculation experiments as well as the propagation of *P. viticola* were carried out with the highly susceptible common grape vine (*Vitis vinifera* subsp. *vinifera*) cultivar Mueller-Thurgau (clone FR3, rootstock 125 AA) (Boso and Kassemeyer, 2008). Inoculation experiments were carried out with the 3<sup>rd</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> leaf counting from the tip due to their highest susceptibility (Steimetz et al., 2012). Infiltration experiments were carried out with *V. vinifera* cultivar Mueller-Thurgau as well as with American and Asian wild grapes *Vitis riparia*, *Vitis rupestris*, and *Vitis amurensis* and the model plant *Nicotiana benthamiana*.

*P. viticola* propagation was carried out every 5 to 8 days by spraying of a sporangia solution (40,000 sporangia/ml) which was obtained by rinsing of infected leaves with autoclaved tap water.

### **Expression Experiments**

Relative expression of *PvNLPs* was analyzed with infected leaf disks at seven different time points representing the whole course of infection beginning with the release of the zoospores from

sporangia until the formation of new sporangia. Time points were defined according to Unger et al. (2007) as follows:  $t_0$  (right after rinsing of sporangia) was set as reference point;  $t_1$  (1 h after application) represents the release of zoospores from sporangia;  $t_2$  (3 h after application) represents the stage of encysted zoospores which already begin to form first germ tubes. Six hours after application ( $t_3$ ) germ tubes already enter the substomatal cavity, first haustoria are visible under the microscope; 24 h ( $t_4$ ) after application, branching of the hyphae inside the host plant is clearly visible; 72 h ( $t_5$ ) after application hyphae spread over a whole intercostal field; 96 h ( $t_6$ ) after application the oomycete begins to infect adjacent intercostal fields; 144 h ( $t_7$ ) after application almost the whole leaf disk is filled with oomycete structures, on the leaf disks surface mycelium and sporangia are visible with the naked eye.

Leaf disks were produced with a cork borer (Ø 15 mm) and inoculated with 50- $\mu$ l droplets of a sporangia solution (50.000/ml). Two leaf disks including the droplets were pooled for one sample and frozen in liquid nitrogen. In addition, two leaf disks per time point were fixated in 80% EtOH and stained with Aniline Blue for fluorescence microscopy as described (Kiefer et al., 2002).

Expression experiments in sporangia solution were carried out on samples representing four different time points:  $t_1$  15 min after rinsing,  $t_2$  30 min,  $t_3$  60 min;  $t_4$  150 min.  $t_0$  was used as a reference immediately after rinsing. Sporangia solutions were obtained by rinsing of 5 to 8 days old, freshly sporulating material from infected leaves. The solution was adjusted to 50.000 sporangia/ml. 1.5 ml of the solution was centrifuged (13,000g, 10 min, RT), the supernatant was discarded and the pellet immediately transferred to liquid nitrogen.

RNA from infected leaf disks was isolated with the Spectrum<sup>™</sup> Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Isolation from sporangia pellets was performed with the GeneMATRIX Universal RNA Purification Kit (Roboklon GmbH, Berlin, Germany). RNA concentrations were leveled, and cDNA was synthesized using an  $oligo(dT)_{18}$  – primer and the MMLV High Performance Reverse Transcriptase (Epicentre, Madison WI, USA). qPCR was performed with the SensiMix<sup>™</sup> SYBR<sup>®</sup> & Fluorescein Kit (Bioline, Luckenwalde, Germany) and the corresponding primers (Supplemental Table 3). Relative, normalized expression values were calculated with the double delta Ct method (Pfaffl, 2001). The housekeeping gene Actin was used as a reference. All expression experiments were carried out in three different biological replicates (one replicate corresponds to one independent sporangia solution). Every biological replicate consisted of three technical replicates.

### **Transient Expression of** *PvNLPs* Transformation of *N. benthamiana*

Transformation of *N. benthamiana* was accomplished with the help of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 with Ti-plasmid pMP90RK (Koncz and Schell, 1986). Subcellular localization as well as cytotoxicity assays were performed with the plasmids pAMPAT/pAMMCS (Lipka et al., 2005; Fuchs et al., 2016) with or without the coding sequence for a fluorescent dye, respectively. For cytotoxicity assays NLP genes were amplified from genomic DNA of *P. viticola* with the corresponding primers (**Supplemental Table 3**) as described. Since the original *PvNLP1* is truncated because of a single guanine deletion, a *full-length PvNLP1 (PvNLP1<sub>FL</sub>)* was produced and analyzed in addition. *PvNLP1 (PvNLP1<sub>FL</sub>)* was produced by adding the missing base to the ORF of *PvNLP1* with the corresponding primers (**Supplemental Table 3**) referring to a site-specific mutagenesis protocol published before (Zheng et al., 2004). The necrosis inducing NLP *PiNPP1.1* from *P. infestans* was used as a positive control. PCR products and plasmids were digested with the restriction enzymes *XhoI* and *Eco*RI and ligated with the T4 DNA Ligase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dreiech, Germany).

For subcellular localization, NLPs were tagged to green fluorescent protein (GFP) and red fluorescent protein (RFP), respectively. NLPs were analyzed in experiments with an Nterminal as well as with a C-terminal fluorescent protein. Tagging of GFP/RFP to the N-terminus was obtained by *XhoI/ NotI* digestion and ligation of plasmid and PCR product. For Cterminal tags the enzymes *NotI/Eco*RI were used.

Transformation was performed using the protocol by Ma et al. (2012). All transformations were conducted in co-infiltration with the silencing suppressor p19 (Lakatos et al., 2004). Agrobacteria without any NLP construct but with p19 were infiltrated as a negative control in the cytotoxicity assays. Infiltrated leaves were observed for necrosis over 14 days. Cell death was visualized by Trypan Blue staining as published (Ma et al., 2012).

Subcellular localization was observed under a fluorescent microscope (Axio Imager.Z1, Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany) three days after *A. tumefaciens* infiltration. Excitation of the corresponding leaf areas took place at 475 nm for GFP (Filter Set 38 HE, Carl Zeiss AG) and at 532 nm for RFP (Filter Set 43 HE, Carl Zeiss AG).

### **Domain Swapping**

The influence of two different N-terminal domains on the necrosisinducing ability of PvNLPs was studied by performing domainswaps as before published for NLPs from *H. arabidopsidis* (Cabral et al., 2012). In the first chimeric construct the signal peptide (SP) from PiNPP1.1 (consisting of the first 19 amino acids) was ligated directly to the N-terminus of the PvNLPs. In the second chimeric construct the whole N-terminal domain ending with the second conserved cysteine residue (first 70 amino acids of PvNLP1-3) was chosen and exchanged with the corresponding domain from PiNPP1.1 (first 83 amino acids).

For the ligation of the mentioned domains to the N-terminus of *Pv*NLPs the corresponding domains were amplified as described above to produce PCR products with either *PaeI* or *KpnI* restriction sites. After clean-up, the ligated product was used as a template for a second PCR to add restriction sites for cloning into plasmids pAMPAT and pAMMCS, respectively.

### Co-Immunoprecipitation

Homo- or heterodimerization of *Pv*NLPs was studied in coimmunoprecipitation experiments. For this purpose GFP-/RFPtagged NLPs were co-expressed in *N. benthamiana*. Protein extraction was carried out as described (Moffett et al., 2002) with minor modifications. Precipitation was performed with GFP-antibody coated agarose beads (GFP-Trap<sup>®</sup>; ChromoTek, Martinsried, Germany) as recommended by the manufacturer. Precipitated proteins were analyzed by immunoblot analysis under strong denaturing conditions in Tris-Glycine buffer, containing 2.1% (w/v) SDS and 5% (v/v)  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol. Samples were separated using Criterion TGX Stain-Free Precast Gels (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany). Blotting was performed with the Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad) and Trans-Blot Turbo 0.2 µm PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad) according to manufacturer's manual. Membranes were probed with anti-GFP or anti-RFP antibody (ChromoTek).

### Cytotoxicity Assays in Different Vitis spp. Heterologous Expression and Vacuum Infiltration

To produce recombinant protein the corresponding genes were amplified as described above, cloned into the vector pET24a(+) (Novagen-Merck Bioscience Ltd, Nottingham, UK) and expressed in *E.coli* BL21-CodonPlus<sup>®</sup>(DE3)-RIL (Stratagene – Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) as recommended by the manufacturer. Proteins were extracted under denaturing conditions as stated in the Protino Ni-IDA manual with minor modifications. Final clean-up of His-tagged NLPs was done with the Protino Ni-IDA 1000 Kit (Macherey-Nagel).

Refolding of the proteins into their natural conformation was achieved by step-wise substitution of the 8 M urea buffer with Hepes or PBS buffer, respectively. For the dialysis Slide-A-Lyzer G2 dialysis cassettes (7K MWCO, 3ml, Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used. Before dialysis protein solutions were adjusted to an OD<sub>595</sub> of 0.7. Two milliliters of the protein solution were injected into a cassette and put into 250-ml dialysis puffer at a temperature of 25°C under constant stirring. Buffer was replaced every 24 h to step-wise reduce the urea concentration. To avoid precipitation, different buffers with increasing glycerol concentrations and decreasing urea concentrations were used. PvNLP1 and PvNLP3 dialysis worked best with Hepes buffer (20 mM, pH 7) while for PvNLP2 and PiNPP1.1 PBS (pH 8) was more convenient. Urea and glycerol concentrations in the different dialysis buffers were: 6 M urea and 10% (v/v) glycerol, 4 M urea and 17.5% (v/v) glycerol, 2 M urea and 25% (v/v) glycerol, 1 M urea and 37.5% (v/v) glycerol, and finally 50% (v/v) glycerol without urea. Due to the high glycerol concentrations, proteins could be stored at -20°C until further usage. Proteins were validated under denaturing conditions by immunoblot analysis as described above. For probing an anti-His antibody (Invitrogen) was diluted 1:5.000 in Tris-buffered saline with Tween 20 (TBST) (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20) with 0.2% skimmed milk powder.

Infiltration of *Vitis* leaf disks was performed with a desiccator. Leaf disks were obtained as described above and put upside down into a 12-well cell culture plate. The non-ionic wetting agent Break-Thru S240 (Evonik, Essen, Germany) was added to the protein solutions (0.01% (v/v)) to improve the infiltration results. Negative pressure was generated and maintained for 2 min and afterward released slowly. Infiltrated leaf disks were transferred to a water agar plate (1% (w/v)) and observed for 14 days under a 16-h light/8-h dark rhythm at 25°C.

### RESULTS

# Identification of the *Plasmopara viticola NLP* Gene Family

For the identification of *NLPs* in *P. viticola* blast searches were performed with the peptide AIMYSWYFPKDMPSTDFGHR HDWE from the "*Plasmopara viticola* NPP1-like protein" (GenBank: KC243261) against the three available genome assemblies INRA-PV221, JL-7-2, and PvitFEM01. Eight different *NLP* coding genes were identified and named *PvNLP1-PvNLP8* (*Plasmopara viticola* necrosis and ethylene inducing peptide 1- like protein 1–8) (**Supplemental Table 1**).

The size of PvNLP2 - PvNLP8 ranges from 223 to 271 amino acids (**Table 1**). PvNLP1 carries a deletion of a single nucleotide which leads to a frameshift and an early Stop-codon. Therefore, PvNLP1 is assumed to be a pseudogene with a length of 345 bp and a size of 114 amino acids. PvNLP1, PvNLP2, and PvNLP3 as well as PvNLP4, PvNLP5, and PvNLP8 share a high degree of homology and presumably developed through gene duplication from two ancestral genes. A strong N-terminal signal peptide was predicted for PvNLP5, PvNLP6, PvNLP7, and PvNLP8. For the other four proteins SecP scores were calculated, indicating a high possibility for non-classical secretion (PvNLP1: 0.86; PvNLP2: 0.91; PvNLP3: 0.90; PvNLP4 0.81). The peptide nlp24, is present in all PvNLPs, except PvNLP1 - although with several alterations in amino acid sequence.

All identified PvNLPs carry two conserved cysteine residues which classifies them as type 1 NLPs (Gijzen and Nürnberger, 2006). A complete hepta peptide (GHRHDWE) motif is only

TABLE 1   Specifications of PvNLPs.									
ID	Length (aa)	Mw (kDa)	pl	Туре	Signal-peptide	QQQ/TPAP	Disulfide bridges	Ca <sup>2+</sup> -site	Cation-binding pocket
PvNLP1	114	12.25	7.75		no (0.0027)	no	2 (43–69) and (92–101)	no	no
PvNLP2	223	25.05	6.63	1	no (0.0024)	no	1 (43–69)	no	yes
PvNLP3	223	25.19	8.49	1	no (0.003)	no	1 (43-69)	no	yes
PvNLP4	271	31.11	7.21	1a	no (0.4696)	no	1 (91–116)	no	no
PvNLP5	239	27.64	7.04	1a	yes (0.9994)	no	1 (56–81)	no	no
PvNLP6	267	29.99	8.76	1a	yes (0.8795)	no	1 (71–96)	no	no
PvNLP7	241	27.17	9.37	1a	yes (0.9949)	no	1 (58–84)	no	no
PvNLP8	242	28.01	7.11	1a	yes (0.9982)	no	1 (62–87)	no	no

present in PvNLP2 and PvNLP3, whereas it is completely missing in PvNLP1 due to its truncation (**Figure 1**). In order to reconstruct the relationship between PvNLPs and NLPs identified in other oomycetes a phylogenetic analysis was carried out (**Figure 2**). For this purpose the eight PvNLPs were compared to the 230 oomycete NLPs also used for the phylogenetic analysis by Oome and Van den Ackerveken (2014) (**Supplemental Figure 1**: LOGO of the sequences of PvNLPs compared to the other 230 oomycetes).

As expected the results show that *Pv*NLPs which presumably developed from the same ancestral gene cluster together.

*Pv*NLP1, *Pv*NLP2, and *Pv*NLP3 form a new branch inside a cluster of NLPs from different *Phytophthora* species. The NLPs of this cluster carry additional glutamine (Q)-rich or proline (TPAP-repeat) - rich hydrophilic regions, which are suggested targets for post-translational modification e.g. O-glycosylation (Oome and Van den Ackerveken, 2014). However *Pv*NLP1, *Pv*NLP2, and *Pv*NLP3 do not carry such domains. *Pv*NLP4, *Pv*NLP5, and *Pv*NLP3 form a branch next to three NLPs from *Bremia lactucae* (*Blac*NLPs), a downy mildew species infecting lettuce. Interestingly, only *Pv*NLP6 and *Pv*NLP7 cluster with type 1a NLPs. While *Pv*NLP6 clusters next to *Blac*NLP6, *Pv*NLP7









clusters into a branch of 45 NLPs from different *Phytophthora* NLPs including the non-cytotoxic NLPs *Pi*NPP1.2 and *Pi*NPP1.3 (Kanneganti et al., 2007). Since *Pv*NLP 4, *Pv*NLP5, *Pv*NLP8 as well as *Pv*NLP6 and *Pv*NLP7 show several mutations in the amino acids conserved in type 1 NLPs, which were described as crucial for necrosis (**Figure 1**), we categorize them as type1a NLPs. *Pv*NLP2 and *Pv*NLP3 carry an intact heptapeptide motif and all other amino acids critical for formation of a cation-binding site and are therefore type 1 NLPs.

# *Pv*NLP1, *Pv*NLP2, and *Pv*NLP3 Are Highly Conserved

Functional characterization concentrated on PvNLP2 and PvNLP3, which are the only PvNLPs containing all crucial amino acids for the induction of innate immunity or the formation of necrosis. Disregarding its truncation, PvNLP1 shares a high homology with PvNLP3. Since the truncation is caused by a single nucleotide deletion, PvNLP1 was included in the following experiments. Even though these three proteins are missing a strong signal

peptide, they were assumed the most likely candidates out of the eight *Pv*NLPs to induce necrosis in plants.

In order to analyze the conservation of these three genes in general, we sequenced PvNLP genes from 43 isolates of *P. viticola*. The isolates were sampled from grapevine varieties that are either highly susceptible or resistant to grapevine downy mildew, growing on vineyards in central and southern Europe as well as in the Middle East over a period of 3 years (**Supplemental Table 2**).

The analyzed PvNLPs were overall highly conserved. The deletion leading to the truncation of PvNLP1 was present in every isolate analyzed. Besides several silent mutations in all of the PvNLP genes (data not shown), we identified a substitution of a single Valine with a Leucine in *PvNLP1* in an isolate from the susceptible cultivar Gewuerztraminer sampled in 2012 (Supplemental Figure 2). In the same year we sampled an isolate from the resistant cultivar Prior, which also showed a single amino acid substitution from Threonine to Lysine in *PvNLP2* (Supplemental Figure 3). In the gene coding for PvNLP3 we identified an exchange of a Valine with an Isoleucine. This substitution was present in isolates from four resistant and one susceptible cultivar collected from different vineyards located around Freiburg (Germany) in three consecutive years (Supplemental Figure 4). The mutation lies in a region of very high conservation between all the NLPs. However, this region was never linked to any function.

# *PvNLP1* Expression Is Strongly Induced During the First 24 h of Infection

Expression of *PvNLPs* was studied over the whole course of infection (**Figure 3B**). All genes monitored were activated shortly after contact of sporangia with water. *PvNLP2* and *PvNLP3* expression increases during the first 6 h of the infection and stays elevated until *de novo* formation of sporangia (144 hpi). By contrast, truncated *PvNLP1* shows a strong activation during the first 24 h. Levels increase from the time point on when germ tubes enter the leaf (3 hpi, **Figure 3Aii**) and the maximum expression level is reached during the formation of first haustoria (6 hpi, **Figure 3Aiii**). Thereafter, expression decreases during branching of hyphae (24 hpi, **Figure 3Aiv**) to a not detectable level during the last days of the observed period.

Expression of the analyzed *PvNLPs* in a pure sporangia solution starts one hour after first water contact of the sporangia (**Figure 3C**). Remarkably, expression of *PvNLP1* was significantly reduced when leaf strips were added to the sporangia solution and removed just before centrifugation (**Figure 3C**; **Supplemental Figure 5**).

### **PvNLPs Are Not Able to Induce Necrosis**

To check PvNLPs for their ability to induce necrosis, we transiently expressed the corresponding genes in *N. benthamiana*. In addition to PvNLP1, PvNLP2, and PvNLP3 we infiltrated a full-length version of the truncated gene PvNLP1 (PvNLP1<sub>FL(full-length</sub>)) to clarify if the deletion led to the loss of a possible necrosis inducing ability.

None of the three original PvNLPs (PvNLP1, PvNLP2 or PvNLP3) nor the artificial PvNLP1<sub>FL</sub> was able to induce necrosis

in *N. benthamiana*. Spots infiltrated with the positive control *Pi*NPP1.1 (Kanneganti et al., 2007) showed beginning chlorosis after 48 h which developed into necrotic tissue within the next 24 h (**Figure 4A**). HR was detected by Trypan Blue staining after 48 h for spots infiltrated with *Pi*NPP1.1. However, none of the spots transformed with *Pv*NLP1, *Pv*NLP2 or *Pv*NLP3 showed any hypersensitive response at any time point (**Figure 4B**).

A potential necrosis inducing activity of PvNLPs was also tested in the natural host plant V. vinifera. For this purpose, recombinant versions of the proteins were produced by heterologous expression (predicted molecular weights: PvNLP1: 12.25 kDa; PvNLP2: 25.05 kDa; PvNLP3: 25.19 kDa; PiNPP1.1: 25.52 kDa). Verification of recombinant proteins was achieved through immunoblot analysis revealing a potential homodimerization of the used proteins in solution (Figure 4D). Functionality of PiNPP1.1 was validated by infiltration into N. benthamiana (Figure 4E). PiNPP1.1 was infiltrated in three different concentrations (1  $\mu$ M, 2.5  $\mu$ M, and 5  $\mu$ M). Formation of necrosis was clearly visible with a concentration of 5 µM 2 to 3 days after infiltration. Lower concentrations were not able to induce necrosis (Figure 4E). Furthermore, several concentrations of glycerol in the infiltration buffer were tested to exclude false positive results. Glycerol concentrations up to 10% (v/v) were tolerated by the plants, while higher concentrations led to necrosis (result not shown).

All proteins and buffers were vacuum infiltrated into leaf discs of the susceptible *V. vinifera* cultivar Mueller-Thurgau as well as into leaf disks of the resistant varieties *V. riparia*, *V. rupestris*, and *V. amurensis*. However, recombinant *Pv*NLPs were not able to induce necrosis in leaf disks of any tested *Vitis* species during the observed period of 14 days. *Pi*NPP1.1, inducing strong necrosis in *N. benthamiana*, showed no cytotoxic effect in any *Vitis* species, even if the used concentration was doubled (10  $\mu$ M) (**Figure 4C**).

# Domain Swapping Between *Pi*NPP1.1 and *Pv*NLPs Does Not Restore Necrosis-Inducing Activity

To analyze the influence of N-terminal domains on the ability to induce necrosis, two chimeric constructs of N-terminal domains from the strong necrosis inducing PiNPP1.1 combined with noncytotoxic PvNLPs were created and transiently expressed in N. benthamiana (Figure 5A, C). All transformed leaves were observed for 14 days and a possible HR was stained with Trypan Blue (Figure 5B). However, none of the used chimeric constructs were able to induce necrosis in N. benthamiana. Neither the ligation of the N-terminal signal peptide from *Pi*NPP1.1 directly to the N-terminus of the *Pv*NLPs (*Pv*NLP<sub>SP</sub>) nor the substitution of the whole domain, starting at the Nterminus and ending at the second conserved cysteine (PvNLP<sub>SPtC</sub>), had an effect on the cytotoxicity of the proteins (Figure 5A). An alignment of the C-termini of PvNLP2 and PvNLP3 with ten cytotoxic NLPs and HaNLP3 revealed six amino acids which are completely different between PvNLPs and the other 11 NLPs. However, an influence of these amino acids remains speculative (Supplemental Figure 6).



**FIGURE 3** | Expression of *P. viticola* NLPs 1 to 3 during infection of *V. vinifera* cv. Mueller-Thurgau: (A) Fluorescence microscopy pictures of Aniline Blue stained leaf disks representing different *P. viticola* stages during the infection of *V. vinifera* cv. Meuller-Thurgau: i: 1 hpi, ii: 3 hpi, iii: 6 hpi, iv: 24 hpi; gt, germ tube; h, haustoria; hy, hypha; sp, sporangium; st, stoma; zs, zoospore; scale bars =  $20\mu$ M. (B) Relative expression of *Pv*NLP1, *Pv*NLP2, and *Pv*NLP3 on infected *V. vinifera* cv. Mueller-Thurgau leaf disks at the time points: zoospore release (1 h), penetration (3 h), first haustoria visible (6 h), branching of hyphae (24 h), 72h, 96h, and 144 h after inoculation. The displayed graph represents one of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three technical replicates  $\pm$  SD. (C) Relative expression of *Pv*NLP1, *Pv*NLP2, and *Pv*NLP3 in a sporangia solution with (+) or without (–) presence of leaf material at the time points 15, 30, 60 (beginning of zoospore release from the sporangia), and 150 min after water contact. The displayed graph represents one of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of three experiments with similar results. Bars are the mean of t

# *Pv*NLP1, *Pv*NLP2, and *Pv*NLP 3 Are Located in the Cytoplasm

In order to localize *Pv*NLPs on a subcellular level, proteins were fused to fluorescent proteins and transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana*. Every analyzed *Pv*NLP-GFP showed the same pattern of localization inside the cytoplasm (**Figure 6**). Differences between C-terminal and N-terminal linked proteins were not detected (data not shown). Furthermore, we observed no differences in localization between GFP and RFP tagged NLP fusion proteins (data not shown).

### PvNLPs Do Not Form Oligomers In Planta

Several NLPs show the tendency to form dimers or oligomers (de Oliveira et al., 2012). Since immunoblot analysis showed that *Pv*NLPs as well as *Pi*NPP1.1 may form stable dimers in solution (**Figure 4D**) co-immunoprecipitation experiments were carried out to analyze this phenomenon *in planta* using GFP-antibody coated agarose beads (**Figure 7**).

The experiments showed no interactions between any of the tested NLPs. Neither homodimerization of a *Pv*NLP nor heterodimerization between the different *Pv*NLPs was observed.

Furthermore, we were not able to detect an interaction between a NLP from *P. viticola* and *Pi*NPP1.1 (results not shown). Whether the fluorescent protein was linked to the C- or N- terminus of the NLPs or not had no influence on the results (results not shown).

# DISCUSSION

## The P. viticola NLP Family

During the past 25 years NLPs were identified and characterized in several microorganisms of all kingdoms (Gijzen and Nürnberger, 2006; Oome and Van den Ackerveken, 2014). NLPs with a strong ability to induce necrosis are found in many necrotrophic or hemibiotrophic plant pathogens (Gijzen and Nürnberger, 2006). These cytotoxic NLPs are obviously beneficial during the necrotic phase of a pathogens life cycle (Amsellem et al., 2002; Santhanam et al., 2013). Contrary to the cytotoxic NLPs, there is a second, almost equally big group of non-cytotoxic NLPs found in hemibiotrophic and biotrophic microorganisms (Oome and Van den Ackerveken, 2014). The role of these NLPs is unknown.



**FIGURE 4** | *Pv*NLP1, *Pv*NLP2, and *Pv*NLP3 are not able to induce necrosis *in planta*: (**A**) Transient expression of NLPs in a leaf of *N. benthamiana*; (**B**) Trypan Blue staining for cell death; both pictures were taken 60 h after infiltration (p19 = silencing suppressor, *PvNLP1<sub>FL</sub>* = full-length version of *PvNLP1*). (**C**) Vacuum-infiltration of recombinant NLPs into leaf disks of different *Vitis* species. Concentration used: 10 µM, controls represent the different protein buffers (including 10% (v/v) glycerol). The picture was taken 14 days after infiltration. (**D**) Validation of recombinant NLPs by Western-blot: 1: *Pv*NLP1, 2: *Pv*NLP2, 3: *Pv*NLP3, 4: *Pi*NPP1.1; a: Coomassie-stained blotting membrane; b: Western-Blot with anti-His antibody; arrows indicate bands representing potential homodimerization; homodimerization of *Pv*NLP3 not visible due to low concentration of dimers. (**E**) *Pi*NPP1.1 induces necrosis in *N. benthamiana* at concentrations above 5 µM (picture taken 7 days after infiltration; percentage indicate buffer controls (PBS, pH8) with respective amounts of glycerol). All pictures of infiltrated leaves or leaf disks demonstrate the results of three independent experiments with the same result.

Sequence analysis performed during this work revealed eight different NLPs in the obligate biotrophic oomycete *P. viticola*. Yin et al. (2015) performed transcriptome analyzes in two *P. viticola* isolates from China and one from Australia and indicated seven, four, and six NLPs, respectively. The three assemblies blasted during this work contained five to seven

NLPs. However, none of the assemblies contained all eight *Pv*NLPs (**Supplemental Table 1**). To this point it is not sure if this divergence is isolate dependent or just caused by gaps or failures in the assembly of the different genome sequences. During this study the genes of *PvNLP1*, *PvNLP2*, and *PvNLP3* from 36 field isolates and seven single sporangia lines were



FIGURE 5 | Domain-swapping does not lead to necrosis-inducing PvNLPs: (A) Transient expression of different chimeric constructs in *N. benthamiana*; (B) Trypan Blue staining of cell death; both pictures were taken 60 h after infiltration (p19 = silencing suppressor). (C) Scheme of the created chimeric constructs (according to Cabral et al., 2012; C, cysteine; SP, signal peptide).

sequenced (**Supplemental Table 2**). *PvNLP1, PvNLP2,* and *PvNLP3* were amplified in every isolate, even though *PvNLP1* was not detected during sequence analysis of the assembly from isolate JL-7-2 (China Agriculture University, China) and *PvNLP3* not in the assemblies of the isolates INRA-PV221 (INRA, France) and PvitFEM01 (Fondazione Edmund Mach, Italy). *PvNLP3* is only present in JL-7-2 and has the exact same length as *PvNLP2*. However, both are located on the same scaffold and are clearly distinguishable with a homology of 87%, suggesting both are independent genes and not alleles.

Sequencing results obtained in this work, showed a high conservation of the different genes in *P. viticola*. However, only three colonies of every strain or isolate were picked and tested. These colonies may represent only one allele of the heterozygous organism. Furthermore, it should be taken into account that field isolates presumably represent a mixture of different strains. Nevertheless, the very low variation between the isolates collected from regions distributed over Baden-Wuerttemberg (Germany) and far distant areas in Israel indicate that *PvNLP1*, *PvNLP2* and *PvNLP3* are highly conserved.

Out of the eight NLPs from *P. viticola*, only *Pv*NLP2 and *Pv*NLP3 contain the amino acids D93, G100, H101, R102, H103, D104, E106, H128, Y151, N158, H159, G193, and A195 which

were suggested to be crucial for necrosis formation (Ottmann et al., 2009; Oome and Van den Ackerveken, 2014; Chen et al., 2018). In addition we included PvNLP1 since restoring of the missing guanine in its ORF leads to a full-length NLP (PvNLP1<sub>FL</sub>) with an intact GHRHDWE motif and the amino acids mentioned above. Shortened NLPs like PvNLP1 appear in high numbers in many other plant pathogens (Gijzen and Nürnberger, 2006; Cabral et al., 2012; Dong et al., 2012). Due to their truncation and the lack of several key elements described as necessary for the necrosis inducing activity, these genes are often labeled as pseudogenes. By its original definition pseudogenes are non-functional DNA domains, which arose from previously functional genes because of early stop codons or shifts in their open reading frame which are neither transcribed or translated (Li et al., 1981). However, several studies demonstrate that some pseudogenes are expressed and fulfill new tasks beyond their original function (Balakirev and Ayala, 2004). Since the function of non-cytotoxic NLPs is so far unknown, shortened versions should not per se classify as nonfunctional just because they lost key elements for the ability to induce necrosis. The loss of their cytotoxic character may actually be beneficial depending on the time point of expression or the lifestyle of the pathogen.



# *PvNLP1* Is Expressed During Early Infection Stages

Besides their ability to induce necrosis, these two groups of NLPs are, with few exceptions, also distinguishable with regard to their expression. Necrosis inducing NLPs are expressed at time points when hemibiotrophic pathogens switch their lifestyle from biotrophic to necrotrophic and necrotic pathogens start to kill plant material. These proteins contribute significantly to the virulence of their hosts. Non-cytotoxic NLPs, like the NLPs from H. arabidopsidis or the NLPs from P. sojae are often already expressed during very early stages of the infection and in some cases keep elevated during the whole course of infection at rather low levels (Cabral et al., 2012; Dong et al., 2012). PvNLP1 is upregulated during the first 24 h of infection. However, afterwards PvNLP1 expression was no longer detectable, while PvNLP2 and PvNLP3 are expressed over the whole infection period. Similar levels of expression were reported for the noncytotoxic NLPs of H. arabidopsidis (Cabral et al., 2012). HaNLP1, HaNLP3, HaNLP8, and HaNLP10 showed highest expression levels within the first 12 h after the infection whereas the genes HaNLP2, HaNLP4, and HaNLP9 are expressed during the whole observed period. Necrosis inducing NLPs from hemibiotrophic plant pathogens like PiNPP1.1 or VdNLP1 and VdNLP2 from Verticillium dahliae are expressed at significantly later time points. PiNPP1.1 expression starts to increase three to four days after

inoculation (Kanneganti et al., 2007). Expression levels of *VdNLP1* and *VdNLP2* increase 4 days after infection and reach their maximum 12 days after infection (Santhanam et al., 2013).

Expression ratios of PvNLP1, PvNLP2, and PvNLP3 measured in germinating sporangia increased as soon as zoospores were released. Interestingly, PvNLP1 expression was significantly decreased if leaf material was added to the sporangia solution. Even though it is still unknown if PvNLP1 is coding for a functional protein or a pseudogene, this result suggests a mechanisms for the regulation of PvNLP1 expression before the first physical contact with the leaf. This regulation suggests a mechanism for the recognition of present plant material by P. viticola. The principle underlying this recognition may be chemotaxis. Chemotaxis is for example described in detail for the symbiotic colonization of plant roots by bacteria (Bais et al., 2006). An example for chemotaxis between grapevine and the pathogen P. viticola may be the detection of stomata by the zoospores throughout volatile compounds like nonanal and decanal released from the substomatal cavity (Schroeder, 2010). However, additional experiments must clarify if this recognition is specific for the interaction between V. vinifera and P. viticola. Further analysis of the underlying volatile compounds may be promising-assuming differences between resistant and susceptible Vitis species-regarding future disease management and resistance breeding.



FIGURE 7 | PVNLPs do not form homo- or heterodimers *in planta*. Pictures show two examples of co-immunoprecipitation experiments of PVNLPs labeled with a fluorescent protein. (A) N-terminal tagged PvNLP1 in combination with C-terminal tagged PvNLP1; (B) C-terminal tagged PvNLP2 in combination with C-terminal tagged PvNLP3. Predicted size of PvNLP2+RFP and PvNLP3+GFP = ~ 47 kDa; predicted size of PvNLP1 + GFP/RFP = ~ 36 kDa. IP, immunoprecipitation; CBB, Coomassie Brilliant Blue.

# *Pv*NLP1, *Pv*NLP2, and *Pv*NLP3 Are Non-Cytotoxic

Necrosis-induction takes place at a specific target in dicot plants after signal peptide-mediated secretion of the NLP to the apoplastic side of the cell (Qutob et al., 2006; Lenarčič et al., 2017). Cabral and co-authors (2012) showed that the originally non-cytotoxic HaNLP can gain a necrosis-inducing ability by swapping of certain Nterminal domains with a necrosis-inducing NLP from P. sojae  $(NLP_{PS})$ . While the ligation of the signal peptide from  $NLP_{PS}$  to the 5'-end of HaNLP3 had no effect, the authors were able to create a cytotoxic construct by swapping the whole N-terminal domain ending at the second conserved cysteine residue, from NLP<sub>Ps</sub> with the corresponding region of HaNLP3. Swapping of the same domains between cytotoxic PiNPP1.1 and PvNLP1, PvNLP2 or PvNLP3 had no such effect. A signal peptide usually enables the translocation of a secreted effector into the apoplast using the predominant secretion system consisting of endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus (Petre and Kamoun, 2014). To date, little is known about the secretion pathways in plant pathogenic fungi and oomycetes. Several studies report proteins that must be secreted non-classical, using pathways making a signal peptide dispensable (Ridout et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2014). PvNLP1, PvNLP2, and PvNLP3 showed high scores during analysis with

SecretomeP, suggesting a high probability for non-classical secretion of these proteins. However, using vacuum infiltration, recombinant proteins should be delivered directly to the apoplast. An additional transportation system for the effectors should therefore not be necessary for necrosis-induction. Nevertheless, even applied directly to the apoplast the tested PvNLPs were not able to induce necrosis; neither in the susceptible V. vinifera cv. Mueller-Thurgau nor in three American wild Vitis species which develop a strong HR as a reaction to the infection with P. viticola. Both experiments show that the absence of a signal peptide is not the reason for the noncytotoxic character of PvNLP1, PvNLP2, and PvNLP3. Indeed, also the positive control PiNPP1.1 was not able to induce necrosis in the leaf disks of Vitis spp., although half of the applied amount induced strong necrosis in N. benthamiana (Figure 4). This observation corresponds to the results of Chong and colleagues (2014) who transiently expressed PiNPP1.1 in V. vinifera cv. Syrah and were also not able to observe necrosis. To our knowledge, there is no oomycete NLP which can induce necrosis in Vitis. Even though, successful infiltration into the leaf disks is visible by the naked eye, we cannot entirely exclude the possibility that the experiment did not work because of the vacuum infiltration method used. Since P. viticola depends on a living host, one may assume the possibility of a mechanism for the detoxification of NLPs in these plants. One way

of necrosis suppression was described in cacao plants (*Theobroma cacao*) by the action of the plant-derived cystatin *Tc*CYS4 (Santana et al., 2014). The NLP *Mp*NEP2 from *Moniliophthora perniciosa* activates cysteine proteases in cacao plants and induces hypersensitive cell death. Cystatins on the other hand can modulate cysteine proteases. *Tc*CYS4 overexpression mutants of *N. benthamiana* showed clearly reduced sensitivity to necrosis formation by the transient expression of *Mp*NEP2 (Santana et al., 2014). Nevertheless, transient *Pi*NPP1.1 expression in *V. vinifera* leads to the induction of the sugar transporter *Vv*SWEET4, suggesting a recognition of this NLP in *V. vinifera* (Chong et al., 2014). Since membrane disruption is rather a specific process (Qutob et al., 2006; Lenarčič et al., 2017), another possibility besides suppression would be a missing target site for NLPs in *V. vinifera*.

### **PvNLPs Are Localized in the Cytoplasm** When Expressed Inside Plant Cells

As mentioned in the introduction, different types of NLPs may have different target sites, which possibly may also lie inside the plant cell. Proteins often carry domains at their N- or C-terminus which influence their function or transport and consequently their subcellular localization (Crivat and Taraska, 2012). To exclude such alterations caused by the fused fluorescent proteins, each NLP was analyzed as C- or N- terminal labeled fusion protein. To reduce a possible effect of the fluorescent protein on the localization or false positive results by the formation of artifacts (Snapp, 2005) both, RFP- and GFP-fusions were analyzed. After expression in N. benthamiana all analyzed NLPs showed the same localization inside the cytoplasm as well as a possible association with the nucleus. No differences between RFP and GFP or Nterminal and C-terminal labeling could be detected. Even when the localization pattern of unbound GFP or RFP was equal to pattern of labeled PvNLPs, western blot analyses did not reveal unbound fluorescent proteins in the corresponding leaf areas (results not shown). Since all localization experiments were carried out in N. benthamiana further experiments are necessary to confirm if this pattern corresponds to the natural distribution in Vitis spp.

Immunogold-labeling with an, although necrotic type 2, NLP from *Fusarium oxysporum* (NEP1) performed by Bae et al. (2006) showed a localization of this protein in the cytoplasm similar to our observations for *Pv*NLPs. An association with chloroplasts, mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum or vacuole was not detected (Bae et al., 2006). Furthermore, it was reported that NLPs from *Botrytis cinerea* (*Bc*NLP) localize inside the nucleus and on the nuclear envelope, respectively (Schouten et al., 2008). If NLPs really enter the nucleus or if they are just located at the nuclear envelope was not finally clarified (Schouten et al., 2008). However, *Bc*NLPs were only detected on already collapsing tomato cells, suggesting that the binding is due to the membrane binding affinity of NLPs.

Like Schouten and colleagues (2008) we could not clarify if PvNLPs are transported to the nucleus or just accumulated at the nuclear envelope in the cytoplasm. However, this should be further investigated by the use of confocal microscopy with suitable nuclear markers.

# **PvNLPs Might Dimerize in Solution**

Pathogen secreted effectors often form oligomers which influences their function and recognition inside the plant cell. Effector SLP1 from *M. oryzae*, which suppresses the chitin triggered immune response in its host plant rice forms oligomers (Mentlak et al., 2012). Also many pore forming toxins undergo an oligomerization (Schoehn et al., 2003). The actinoporin Sticholysin II from the sea anemone *Stichodactyla helianthus* normally appears as a monomer. However, in the presence of a lipid membrane it forms tetramers, leading to a conformational change and consequently to pore formation in the membrane (Álvarez et al., 2009).

Also, several NLPs tend to dimer- or oligomerize. Two examples are *Mp*NEP1 from *M. perniciosa* and NPP1 from *P. parasitica*, which form homotrimers in solution (Garcia et al., 2007). Another NLP from *M. perniciosa*, *Mp*NEP2, forms metastable dimers in the host plant which leads to occlusion of the heptapeptide motif (de Oliveira et al., 2012). It is assumed that this mechanism suppresses the necrosis inducing activity of *Mp*NEP2 (de Oliveira et al., 2012). Alterations in environmental conditions like temperature changes might reverse dimerization and as a result lead to regulation of the necrosis inducing activity (de Oliveira et al., 2012).

Potential homo- or heterodimerization of PvNLPs in planta was tested with co-immunoprecipitation assays using GFP- and RFP-tagged fusion proteins. Dimerization of the tested NLPs in N. benthamiana was not detectable. Since PvNLPs are relatively small, presence of the approximately equal sized fluorescence protein may inhibit dimerization. Nevertheless, recombinant PvNLPs seem to form relatively stable homodimers in solution visible in Western blot analysis under strong denaturing conditions. However, future experiments, including native PAGE, must confirm dimerization of PvNLPs and clarify its potential influence after a possible secretion from P. viticola into solution.

# Possible Functions of Non-Cytotoxic NLPs

Like other scientists, we assume a function of non-cytotoxic NLPs during the infection of host plants, however, their exact role still remains unknown (Cabral et al., 2012; Dong et al., 2012; Santhanam et al., 2013). NLPs show great structural similarities to lectins (Ottmann et al., 2009). Lectins are present in all kingdoms of life and are defined by their ability to bind carbohydrates as for example glucans (Chrispeels and Raikhel, 2007). Functions of lectins are diverse. Most of them are secreted into the cell wall and to the intercellular space, respectively (Chrispeels and Raikhel, 2007). One elicitor with lectin-like properties is for example the cellulose- binding elicitor lectin (CBEL) from P. parasitica var. nicotianae. CBEL induces necrosis and the expression of defense related genes after infiltration into tobacco leaves (Gaulin, 2002). Furthermore, it is involved in the adhesion of pathogen structures to cellulose, the main component of plant cell walls (Gaulin, 2002). One possible function of early expressed NLPs may therefore be an involvement in the process of zoospore attachment.

Another potential function of these proteins arises from the cell wall components of oomycetes. In addition to cellulose, the cell wall of these microorganisms consists of  $\beta$ -1-3-glucans.  $\beta$ -1-3-glucan binding effectors seem to be conserved over several kingdoms of life (Fesel and Zuccaro, 2016) and L-Typ receptor like kinases (LecRKs) are known to be involved in the resistance of several crops against pathogens (Singh and Zimmerli, 2013). NLPs might be able to bind  $\beta$ -1-3-glucanes due to their lectin like structure, and thereby suppress the recognition of these PAMPs during PTI. NLPs could thereby act as a virulence factor and extend the timeframe for a successful infection by the zoospores.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The sequencing data has been uploaded to GenBank. DNA sequences of PvNLP1 and PvNLP3 can be found using accession numbers MN564834 and MN720268. The polymorphisms found during sequencing (**Supplemental Figures 2–4**) can be found using accession numbers MN938410, MN938411 and MN938412.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

RF, H-HK, SS, and RV conceived the project and designed the experiments. KG and SS performed the different experiments. RF, KG, and SS processed all data and did the statistical and bioinformatics analyses. SS wrote the manuscript and all co-authors read and approved it.

### REFERENCES

- Álvarez, C., Mancheño, J. M., Martínez, D., Tejuca, M., Pazos, F., and Lanio, M. E. (2009). Sticholysins, two pore-forming toxins produced by the Caribbean Sea anemone Stichodactyla helianthus: their interaction with membranes. *Toxicon* 54, 1135–1147. doi: 10.1016/j.toxicon.2009.02.022
- Albert, I., Böhm, H., Albert, M., Feiler, C. E., Imkampe, J., Wallmeroth, N., et al. (2015). An RLP23-SOBIR1-BAK1 complex mediates NLP-triggered immunity. *Nat. Plants* 1, 15140. doi: 10.1038/nplants.2015.140
- Alkan, N., Friedlander, G., Ment, D., Prusky, D., and Fluhr, R. (2015). Simultaneous transcriptome analysis of collectorichum gloeosporioides and tomato fruit pathosystem reveals novel fungal pathogenicity and fruit defense strategies. *New Phytol.* 205, 801–815. doi: 10.1111/nph.13087
- Amsellem, Z., Cohen, B. A., and Gressel, J. (2002). Engineering hypervirulence in a mycoherbicidal fungus for efficient weed control. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 20, 1035– 1039. doi: 10.1038/nbt743
- Armenteros, J. J. A., Tsirigos, K. D., Sonderby, C. K., Petersen, T. N., Winther, O., Brunak, S., et al. (2019). SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predicitions using depp neural networks. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 420–423. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0036-z
- Bae, H., Bowers, J. H., Tooley, P. W., and Bailey, B. A. (2005). NEP1 orthologs encoding necrosis and ethylene inducing proteins exist as a multigene family in Phytophthora megakarya, causal agent of black pod disease on cacao. *Mycol. Res.* 109, 1373–1385. doi: 10.1017/S0953756205003941
- Bae, H., Kim, M. S., Sicher, R. S., Bae, H.-J., and Bailey, B. A. (2006). Necrosis- and ethylene-inducing peptide from Fusarium oxysporum induces a complex cascade of transcripts associated with signal transduction and cell death in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 141, 1056–1067. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.076869
- Bailey, B. A. (1995). Purification of a protein from culture filtrates of Fusarium oxysporum that induces ethylene and necrosis in leaves of Erythroxylium coca. *Phytopathology* 85, 1250–1255. doi: 10.1094/Phyto-85-1250
- Bais, H. P., Weir, T. L., Perry, L. G., Gilroy, S., and Vivanco, J. M. (2006). The role of root exudates in rhizosphere interactions with plants and other organisms.

### FUNDING

This work was co-financed by the European Union—European Regional Development Fund as a part of the BACCHUS Interreg project IV Oberrhein/Rhin supérieur and Vitifutur Interreg project V Oberrhein/Rhin supérieur.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors want to thank François Delmotte (INRA, Villenave d'Ornon, France) and Mery Dafny Yelin (Golan Research Institute, Haifa University, Israel) for providing DNA from different *P. viticola* isolates as well as José Javier Gómez-Zeledón (University of Hohenheim, Germany) for providing DNA obtained from single sporangia lines of *P. viticola*. We are grateful to Alexandra Wolf (State Institute of Viticulture and Enology, Germany) and Alicia Heitzler (State Institute of Viticulture and Enology, Germany) for comments on the manuscript.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2020.00065/ full#supplementary-material

Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 57, 233-266. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905. 105159

- Balakirev, E. S., and Ayala, F. J. (2004). Pseudogenes: Are they 'junk' or functional DNA? Annu. Rev. Genet. 37, 123–151. doi: 10.1146/annurev.genet.37.040103. 103949
- Bendtsen, J. D., Kiemer, L., Fausboll, A., and Brunak, S. (2005). Non-classical protein secretion in bacteria. BMC Microbiol. 5, 58. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-5-58
- Betz, S. F. (1993). Disulfide bonds and the stability of globular proteins. *Protein Sci.* 2, 1551–1558. doi: 10.1002/pro.5560021002
- Böhm, H., Albert, I., Oome, S., Raaymakers, T. M., Van den Ackerveken, G., and Nürnberger, T. (2014). A conserved peptide pattern from a widespread microbial virulence factor triggers pattern-induced immunity in *Arabidopsis*. *PloS Pathog.* 10, e1004491. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004491
- Boller, T., and He, S. Y. (2009). Innate immunity in plants: An arms race between pattern recognition receptors in plants and effectors in microbial pathogens. *Science* 324, 742–743. doi: 10.1126/science.1171647
- Boso, S., and Kassemeyer, H.-H. (2008). Different susceptibility of European grapevine cultivars for Downy Mildew. Vitis 47, 39–49.
- Cabral, A., Oome, S., Sander, N., Küfner, I., Nürnberger, T., and Van den Ackerveken, G. (2012). Nontoxic Nep1-like proteins of the Downy Mildew pathogen Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis: Repression of necrosis-inducing activity by a surface-exposed region. *Mol. Plant-Microbe In.* 25, 697–708. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-10-11-0269
- Ceroni, A., Passerini, A., Vullo, A., and Frasconi, P. (2006). DISULFIND: a disulfide bonding state and cysteine connectivity prediction server. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 34 (Web Server issue), W177–W181. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkl266
- Chen, X.-R., Huang, S.-X., Zhang, Y., Sheng, G.-L., Li, Y.-P., and Zhu, F. (2018). Identification and functional analysis of the NLP-encoding genes from the phytopathogenic oomycete Phytophthora capsici. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 293, 931–943. doi: 10.1007/s00438-018-1432-7
- Chong, J., Piron, M. C., Meyer, S., Merdinoglu, D., Bertsch, C., and Mestre, P. (2014). The SWEET family of sugar transporters in grapevine: VvSWEET4 is

involved in the interaction with Botrytis cinerea. J. Exp. Bot. 65, 6589–6601. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eru375

- Chrispeels, M. J., and Raikhel, N. V. (2007). Lectins, lectin genes, and their role in plant defense. *Plant Cell* 3, 1–9. doi: 10.2307/3869195
- Coll, N. S., Epple, P., and Dangl, J. L. (2011). Programmed cell death in the plant immune system. *Cell Death Differ*. 18, 1247–1256. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2011.37
- Corio-Costet, M.-F. (2012). "Fungicide resistance in Plasmopara viticola in France and anti-resistance measures," in *Fungicide Resistance in Crop Protection: Risk and Management.* Ed. T. S. Thind (Wallingfird, U. K.: CAB International 2012).
- Crivat, G., and Taraska, J. W. (2012). Imaging proteins inside cells with fluorescent tags. *Trends Biotechnol.* 30, 8–16. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2011.08.002
- de Oliveira, G. A. P., Pereira, E. G., Dias, C. V., Souza, T. L. F., Ferretti, G. D. S., Cordeiro, Y., et al. (2012). Moniliophthora perniciosa necrosis- and ethyleneinducing protein 2 (MpNep2) as a metastable dimer in solution: Structural and functional implications. *PloS One* 7, e45620. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0045620
- Dereeper, A., Guignon, V., Blanc, G., Audic, S., Buffet, S. , Chevenet, F., et al. (2008). Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36 (Web Server issue), W465–W469. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn180
- Dong, S., Kong, G., Qutob, D., Yu, X., Tang, J., Kang, J., et al. (2012). The NLP toxin family in Phytophthora sojae includes rapidly evolving groups that lack necrosis-inducing activity. *Mol. Plant-Microbe In.* 25, 896–909. doi: 10.1094/ MPMI-01-12-0023-R
- Fellbrich, G., Romanski, A., Varet, A., Blume, B., Brunner, F. , Engelhardt, S., et al. (2002). NPP1, a Phytophthora-associated trigger of plant defense in parsley and *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* 32, 375–390. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2002.01454.x
- Fesel, P. H., and Zuccaro, A. (2016). β-Glucan: Crucial component of the fungal cell wall and elusive MAMP in plants. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 90, 53–60. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2015.12.004
- Fuchs, R., Kopischke, M., Klapprodt, C., Hause, G., Meyer, A. J., Schwarzländer, M., et al. (2016). Immobilized subpopulations of leaf epidermal mitochondria mediate PENETRATION2-dependent pathogen entry control in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 28, 130–145. doi: 10.1105/tpc.15.00887
- Garcia, O., Macedo, J. A. N., Tibúrcio, R., Zaparoli, G., Rincones, J., Bittencourt, L. M. C., et al. (2007). Characterization of necrosis and ethylene-inducing proteins (NEP) in the Basidiomycete Moniliophthora perniciosa, the causal agent of Witches' Broom in Theobroma cacao. *Mycol. Res.* 111, 443–455. doi: 10.1016/j.mycres.2007.01.017
- Gaulin, E. (2002). The CBEL glycoprotein of Phytophthora parasitica varnicotianae is involved in cell wall deposition and adhesion to cellulosic substrates. J. Cell Sci. 115, 4565–4575. doi: 10.1242/jcs.00138
- Gessler, C. (2011). Plasmopora viticola: A review of knowledge on Downy Mildew of grapevine and effective disease management. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 50, 3– 44. doi: 10.14601/Phytopathol\_Mediterr-9360
- Gijzen, M., and Nürnberger, T. (2006). Nep1-like proteins from plant pathogens: Recruitment and diversification of the NPP1 domain across taxa. *Phytochemistry* 67, 1800–1807. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2005.12.008
- Jones, J. D. G., and Dangl, J. L. (2006). The plant immune system. *Nature* 444, 323–329. doi: 10.1038/nature05286
- Kanneganti, T.-D., Huitema, E., Cakir, C., and Kamoun, S. (2007). Synergistic interactions of the plant cell death pathways induced by Phytophthora infestans Nep1-like protein PiNPP1.1 and INF1 elicitin. *Mol. Plant-Microbe In.* 19, 854–863. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-19-0854
- Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J., and Yamada, K. D. (2019). MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief Bioinform.* 20, 1160–1166. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbx108
- Kiefer, B., Riemann, M., Büche, C., Kassemeyer, H.-H., and Nick, P. (2002). The host guides morphogenesis and stomatal targeting in the grapevine pathogen Plasmopara viticola. *Planta* 215, 387–393. doi: 10.1007/s00425-002-0760-2
- Koncz, C., and Schell, J. (1986). "The promoter of TL-DNA gene 5 controls the tissue-specific expression of chimaeric genes carried by a novel type of Agrobacterium binary vector. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 204, 383–384. doi: 10.1007/ BF00331014
- Lakatos, L., Szittya, G., Silhavy, D., and Burgyán, J. (2004). Molecular mechanism of RNA silencing suppression mediated by p19 protein of tombusviruses. *EMBO J.* 23, 876–884. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600096
- Lenarčič, T., Hodnik, V., Pirc, K., Zavec, A. B., Podobnik, M., Anderluh, G., et al. (2017). Eudicot plant-specific sphingolipids determine host selectivity of

microbial NLP cytolysins. Science 358, 1431-1434. doi: 10.1126/science. aan6874

- Lévesque, C. A., Brouwer, H., Cano, L., Hamilton, J. P., Holt, C., Huitema, E., et al. (2010). Genome sequence of the necrotrophic plant pathogen Pythium ultimum reveals original pathogenicity mechanisms and effector repertoire. *Genome Biol.* 11, R73. doi: 10.1186/gb-2010-11-7-r73
- Li, W.-H., Gojobori, T., and Nei, M. (1981). Pseudogenes as a paradigm of neutral evolution. *Nature* 292, 237–239. doi: 10.1038/292237a0
- Lipka, V., Dittgen, J., Bednarek, P., Bhat, R., Wiermer, M., Stein, M., et al. (2005). Pre- and postinvasion defenses both contribute to nonhost resistance in Arabidopsis. *Science* 310, 1180–1183. doi: 10.1126/science.1119409
- Liu, T., Song, T., Zhang, X., Yuan, H., Su, L., Li, W., et al. (2014). Unconventionally secreted effectors of two filamentous pathogens target plant salicylate biosynthesis. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 4686. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5686
- Ma, L., Lukasik, E., Gawehns, F., and Takken, F. L. W. (2012). "The use of agroinfiltration for transient expression of plant resistance and fungal effector proteins in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves", in Plant Fungal Pathogens: Methods and Protocols. *Plant Fungal Pathogens: Methods and Protocols* (Totowa, NJ, USA: Humana Presss), 61–74. doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-501-5\_4
- Meng, X., and Zhang, S. (2013). MAPK cascades in plant disease resistance signaling. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 51, 245–266. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102314
- Mentlak, T. A., Kombrink, A., Shinya, T., Ryder, L. S., Otomo, I., Saitoh, H., et al. (2012). Effector-mediated suppression of chitin-triggered immunity by Magnaporthe oryzae is necessary for rice blast disease. *Plant Cell* 24, 322– 335. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.092957
- Moffett, P., Farnham, G., Peart, J., and Baulcombe, D. C. (2002). Interaction between domains of a plant NBS-LRR protein in disease resistance-related cell death. *EMBO J.* 21, 4511–4519. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdf453
- Oome, S., and Van den Ackerveken, G. (2014). Comparative and functional analysis of the widely occurring family of Nep1-Like proteins. *Mol. Plant Microbe In.* 27, 1081–1094. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-04-14-0118-R
- Oome, S., Raaymakers, T. M., Cabral, A., Samwel, S., Böhm, H., Albert, I., et al. (2014). Nep1-like proteins from three kingdoms of life act as a microbeassociated molecular patter in *Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 16955–16960. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1410031111
- Ottmann, C., Luberacki, B., Küfner, I., Koch, W., Brunner, F., Weyand, M., et al. (2009). A common toxin fold mediates microbial attack and plant defense. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 10359–10364. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0902362106
- Pemberton, C. L., and Salmond, G. P. C. (2004). The Nep1-like proteins A growing family of microbial elicitors of plant necrosis. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 5, 353–359. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2004.00235.x
- Petre, B., and Kamoun, S. (2014). How do filamentous pathogens deliver effector proteins into plant cells? *PloS Biol.* 12, e1001801. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pbio.1001801
- Pfaffl, M. W. (2001). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in realtime RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res. 29, 16–21. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.9.e45
- Qutob, D., Kemmerling, B., Brunner, F., Küfner, I., Engelhardt, S., Gust, A. A., et al. (2006). Phytotoxicity and innate immune responses induced by Nep1-like proteins. *Plant Cell* 18, 3721–3744. doi: 10.1105/tpc.106.044180
- Rambaut, A. (2018). *FigTree v1.4.4* (University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh: Institute of Evolutionary Biology). http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/
- Ridout, C. J., Skamnioti, P., Porritt, O., Sacristan, S., Jones, J. D. G., and Brown, J. K. M. (2006). Multiple avirulence paralogues in cereal powdery mildew fungi may contribute to parasite fitness and defeat of plant resistance. *Plant Cell* 18, 2402–2414. doi: 10.1105/tpc.106.043307
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F., and Maniatis, T. (1989). *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual* (New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press).
- Santana, L. S., Costa, M. G., Pirovani, N. M., Almeida, A. F., Alvim, F. C., and Pirovani, C. P. (2014). TcCYS4, a cystatin from cocoa, reduces necrosis triggered by MpNEP2 in tobacco plants. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 13, 7636–7648. doi: 10.4238/2014.September.26.1
- Santhanam, P., van Esse, H. P., Albert, I., Faino, L., Nürnberger, T., and Thomma, B. P. (2013). Evidence for functional diversification within a fungal NEP1-like protein family". *Mol. Plant Microbe In.* 26, 278–286. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-09-12-0222-R
- Schoehn, G., Di Guilmi, A. M., Lemaire, D., Attree, I., Weissenhorn, W., and Dessen, A. (2003). Oligomerization of type III secretion proteins PopB and

PopD precedes pore formation in Pseudomonas. *EMBO J.* 22, 4957–4967. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdg499

- Schouten, A., Van Baarlen, P., and Van Kan, J. A. (2008). Phytotoxic Nep1-like proteins from the necrotrophic fungus Botrytis cinerea associate with membranes and the nucleus of plant cells. *New Phytol.* 177, 493–505. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02274.x
- Schroeder, S. (2010). Plant immunity as a result of co evolution: Using the pair grapevine/downy mildew as a model (Germany: Karlsruher Insitute of Technology (KIT)), PhD dissertation.
- Sharma, R., Xia, X., Cano, L. M., Evangelisti, E., Kemen, E., Judelson, H., et al. (2015). Genome analysis of the sunflower pathogen Plasmopara halstedii provide insights into effector evolution in downy mildews and Phytophthora. *BMC Genet.* 16, 741. doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-1904-7
- Sievers, F., Wilm, A., Dineen, D., Gibson, T. J., Karplus, K., Li, W., et al. (2011). Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 7, 539. doi: 10.1038/msb.2011.75
- Singh, P., and Zimmerli, L. (2013). Lectin receptor kinases in plant innate immunity. Front. Plant Sci. 4, 124. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00124
- Snapp, E. (2005). Design and use of fluorescent fusion proteins in cell biology. Curr. Protoc. Cell Biol. 27, 21.4.1–21.4.13. doi: 10.1002/0471143030.cb2104s27
- Steimetz, E., Trouvelot, S., Gindro, K., Bordier, A., Poinssot, B., Adrian, M., et al. (2012). Influence of leaf age on induced resistance in grapevine against Plasmopara viticola. *Physiol. Mol. Plant P.* 79, 89–96. doi: 10.1016/j.pmpp.2012.05.004
- Unger, S., Büche, C., Boso, S., and Kassemeyer, H. H. (2007). The course of colonization of two different Vitis genotypes by Plasmopara viticola indicates

compatible and incompatible host-pathogen interactions. *Phytopathology* 97, 780-786. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-97-7-0780

- Yin, L., Li, X., Xiang, J., Qu, J., Zhang, Y., Dry, I. B., et al. (2015). Characterization of the secretome of Plasmopara viticola by de novo transcriptome analysis. *Physiol. Mol. Plant P.* 91, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.pmpp.2015.05.002
- Zheng, L., Baumann, U., and Reymond, J.-L. (2004). An efficient one-step sitedirected and site-saturation mutagenesis protocol. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, e115. doi: 10.1093/nar/gnh110
- Zhou, B.-J., Jia, P.-S., Gao, F., and Guo, H.-S. (2012). Molecular characterization and functional analysis of a necrosis- and ethylene-inducing, protein-encoding gene family from Verticillium dahliae. *Mol. Plant Microbe Int.* 25, 964–975. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-12-11-0319

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Schumacher, Grosser, Voegele, Kassemeyer and Fuchs. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.